



Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

Information Technology & Communications Division

Post Office Box 5743 • Pikesville, Maryland 21282-5743
Main №: 410-585-3100 • Facsimile №: 410-764-4035 • www.dpscs.state.md.us

STATE OF MARYLAND

MARTIN O'MALLEY
GOVERNOR

ANTHONY G. BROWN
LT. GOVERNOR

GARY D. MAYNARD
SECRETARY

G. LAWRENCE FRANKLIN
DEPUTY SECRETARY

RONALD C. BROTHERS
CHIEF INFORMATION
OFFICER

C. KEVIN COMBS
DEPUTY CHIEF
INFORMATION OFFICER

Q&A #11 to Request for Proposals (RFP) Offender Case Management System Project No. DPSCS ITCD 2008-30

May 23, 2008

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This list of Questions and Responses #11 is being issued to clarify certain information contained in the above referenced RFP. The statements and interpretations of RFP and contract requirements that are stated in the following questions of potential Offerors are not binding on the State, unless the State expressly amends the RFP. Nothing in the State's responses to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the State of any potential Offeror's statement or interpretation of RFP and contract requirements. The numerical sequencing for this Q&A #11 begins at question #263; question #262 was answered in Q&A #10. The following questions, for the above referenced RFP, were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all Offerors:

263. **Question:** We are in receipt of the amendments, responses to questions and attachments as posted on the eMarylandMarketplace web site. Are vendors to expect any more amendments, responses to questions or attachments? Will a revised/amended version of the RFP be issued?

Answer: Whether any additional amendments are made or information on requirements issued is dependent upon receipt of a question that indicates another amendment is needed. This question, for example, does not warrant an amendment or an attachment. Please see the RFP Section 1.8.2 which says in part, "The Procurement Officer shall, based on the availability of time to research and communicate an answer, decide whether an answer can be given before the proposal due date." The Department does not anticipate the need for any further due date extensions or the receipt of substantive questions but is prepared to look at additional questions on a case-by-case basis to determine whether a question should be answered or not. The closer a question is submitted to the proposal due date, the less likely it is that an answer will be given. The Department is preparing a posted version of the RFP to aid Offerors in preparing proposal responses and intends to place a posted version on its website by May 30, 2008.

Date Issued: May 23, 2008

By: Linda Thompson

Linda Thompson
Procurement Officer